IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO: 14767 of 2004

Between:

V. Prasad (E.No. 479290), S/o. Late Basavaiah,
R/o. Kakarapadu, Koyyur Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.
2 The Divisional Manager (Rural) APSRTC Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the order of the 2nd respondent herein dated 23-9-2003 vide Proceedings No. PA/19(62)/2002/VSP(R) confirming the order of the 3rd respondent herein vide Final Order No. M1/197(8)/2000/PDR, dated 27-11-2000 removing the petitioner from service as Driver, as arbitrary, illegal and contrary to law and consequently direct the respondents herein to reinstate the petitioner into service as Driver forthwith, with all consequential benefits including back wages etc.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.CHALLARI NAGESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: MR.Y.VIVEKANANDA SWAMY (S.C.FOR APSRTC)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO.14767 OF 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner worked as a Driver in the respondent Corporation. He was removed from service through an order dated 27-11-2000 by the third respondent. He preferred an appeal to the second respondent, and the appeal was rejected by the second respondent on 23-09-2003. Against the said order, he preferred a review petition before the first respondent on 10-02-2004, and no orders have been passed by the first respondent till now. The petitioner therefore, approached this Court seeking a direction to the first respondent to pass appropriate orders on his review petition.

2 . In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner, the first respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the review petition of the petitioner dated 10-02-2004 within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Dr. G.YETHIRAJULU, J

19th August, 2004

SKM

TO

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.

2 The Divisional Manager (Rural) APSRTC Visakhapatnam,

Visakhapatnam District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District.

4 Two C.D.Copies.